

State of California  
California Natural Resources Agency  
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

# Methodology for Flow and Salinity Estimates in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta and Suisun Marsh



**35th Annual Progress Report to the  
State Water Resources Control Board in  
Accordance with Water Right Decisions 1484 and 1641**

**June 2014**

**Edmund G. Brown Jr.**  
Governor  
State of California

**John Laird**  
Secretary for Natural Resources  
California Natural Resources Agency

**Mark W. Cowin**  
Director  
Department of Water Resources



## Foreword

This is the 35th annual progress report of the California Department of Water Resources' San Francisco Bay-Delta Evaluation Program, which is carried out by the Delta Modeling Section. This report is submitted annually by the section to the California State Water Resources Control Board pursuant to its Water Right Decision 1485, Term 9, which is still active pursuant to its Water Right Decision 1641, Term 8.

This report documents progress in the development and enhancement of the Bay-Delta Office's Delta Modeling Section's computer models and reports the latest findings of studies conducted as part of the program. This report was compiled under the direction of Tara Smith, program manager for the Bay-Delta Evaluation Program.

Online versions of previous annual progress reports are available at:

<http://baydeltaoffice.water.ca.gov/modeling/deltamodeling/annualreports.cfm>.

For more information contact:

Tara Smith  
Chief, Delta Modeling Section,  
Bay-Delta Office,  
California Department of Water Resources  
tara@water.ca.gov  
(916) 653-9885

State of California  
**Edmund G. Brown Jr., Governor**  
California Natural Resources Agency  
**John Laird, Secretary for Natural Resources**  
Department of Water Resources  
**Mark W. Cowin, Director**  
**Laura King Moon, Chief Deputy Director**

Office of the Chief Counsel  
Cathy Crothers

Public Affairs Office  
Nancy Vogel, Ass't Dir.

Internal Audit Office  
Jeff Ingles

Office of Workforce Equality  
Stephanie Varrelman

Policy Advisor  
Waiman Yip

Legislative Affairs Office  
Kasey Schimke, Ass't Dir.

*Deputy Directors*

**Vacant**

Assistant to Deputy Director: J Gleim

**Delta and Statewide Water Management**

**Gary Bardini**

Assistant to Deputy Director: C Brown; Assistant Deputy Director J Andrew

**Integrated Water Management**

**Carl Torgersen**

Assistant to Deputy Director: D Adachi, P Lecocq, and D Uding; Assistant Deputy Director: M Anderson

**State Water Project**

**John Pacheco**, acting

**California Energy Resources Scheduling**

**Kathie Kishaba**

Assistant to Deputy Director: J Cole

**Business Operations**

Bay Delta Office  
**Paul A. Marshall**, Chief

Modeling Support Branch  
**Francis Chung**, Chief

Delta Modeling Section  
**Tara Smith**, Chief

Edited by:  
**Min Yu**, Bay Delta Office  
**Ralph Finch**, Bay Delta Office

Chapter 1 and Chapter 3 Authors  
**Lianwu Liu** and **Prabhjot Sandhu**

Chapter 2 Author  
**Ralph Finch**

Chapter 4 Authors  
**En-Ching Hsu**, **Eli Ateljevich** and **Prabhjot Sandhu**

Chapter 5 Authors  
**Yu Zhou** and **Bob Suits**

Chapter 6 Author  
**Tara Smith**

Chapter 7 Authors  
**Eli Ateljevich**, **Kijin Nam**, **Y. Joseph Zhang**, **Rueen-fang Wang**, and **Qiang Shu**

Editorial review, graphics, and report production  
Under direction of Supervisor of Technical Publications Patricia Cornelius

Research Writers  
Frank Keeley      William O'Daly      Charlie Olivares      Jeff Woled      Carole Rains

## Table of Contents

Foreword.....	i
Preface .....	xiii
<b>Chapter 1. Channel Volume Correction in DSM2-Qual Version 8.1 .....</b>	<b>1-1</b>
1.1 Introduction.....	1-1
1.2 Description and Testing Scenarios.....	1-1
1.3 Summary .....	1-5
<b>Chapter 2. Quantitative Calibration of DSM2 .....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
2.1 Summary .....	2-1
2.2 Background .....	2-1
2.3 Motivation.....	2-1
2.4 Goals .....	2-2
2.5 PEST Overview .....	2-2
2.6 Connection to DSM2 .....	2-3
2.7 Traditional and Non-Traditional Potential Parameters .....	2-6
2.8 Status and Preliminary Findings .....	2-7
2.9 Sensitivity Maps.....	2-7
2.10 Future Directions .....	2-10
2.10.1 Working with more PEST Features .....	2-10
2.10.2 Using better Bathymetry and Delta Evapotranspiration of Applied Water (DETAW) .....	2-11
2.11 Acknowledgments.....	2-11
2.12 References.....	2-11
<b>Chapter 3. DSM2 Version 8.1 Time Step Sensitivity Test .....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
3.1 Introduction.....	3-1
3.2 Testing Scenarios and Result Analysis .....	3-1
3.3 Test for Qual Time Step Sensitivity.....	3-1
3.4 Test for Tidefile Time Steps .....	3-7
3.5 Test for Hydro Time Steps.....	3-11
3.6 Comparing Time Step Combinations for Hydro, Tidefile, and Qual.....	3-15
3.7 Summary .....	3-17
<b>Chapter 4. DSM2-GTM.....</b>	<b>4-1</b>
4.1 Introduction.....	4-1
4.2 Eulerian versus Lagrangian Frames of Reference .....	4-1
4.3 DSM2-Qual and the Branched Lagrangian Transport Model (BLTM) .....	4-2
4.4 GTM: An Eulerian One-Dimensional Transport Model .....	4-3
4.4.1 Project Introduction .....	4-3
4.4.2 Flow and Geometry Transfer from DSM2-Hydro .....	4-4
4.4.3 Network Considerations.....	4-5
4.5 Other GTM Code Design and DSM2 Integration Considerations .....	4-6
4.5.1 User Input and Output.....	4-6
4.5.2 Multiple Interactive Constituents.....	4-6

4.5.3 Courant-Friedrichs-Lewy (CFL) Condition and Subcycling .....	4-6
4.5.4 Unit Testing .....	4-7
4.6 DSM2-GTM Test Cases and Results Comparison to DSM2-Qual .....	4-7
4.6.1 Advection in Uniform Flow along a Reach .....	4-7
4.6.2 Advection in Oscillating Flow along a Reach.....	4-10
4.6.3 Advection in Uniform Flow along a Reach with Junctions .....	4-13
4.6.4 Diffusion and Reaction Tests.....	4-15
4.7 DSM2-GTM Advection Test for a Delta-like Network and Comparison to DSM2-Qual .....	4-15
4.8 Summary .....	4-19
4.9 Acknowledgements.....	4-19
4.10 References.....	4-19
<b>Chapter 5. Automation of Spatial Map with Temporal Data from DSM2-QUAL Output using ArcGIS .....</b>	<b>5-1</b>
5.1 Introduction.....	5-1
5.2 Methodology .....	5-1
5.2.1 Spatial Network of the Delta.....	5-2
5.2.2 Temporal Data Extraction and Conversion.....	5-2
5.2.3 GIS Spatial Interpolation .....	5-3
5.2.4 GIS Visualization of Temporal Data.....	5-4
5.3 Sample Applications .....	5-4
5.3.1 Water Quality .....	5-5
5.3.2 Fingerprint of Water Volume and Constituents .....	5-5
5.3.3 Other Functions Extensions .....	5-5
5.4 Summary and Future Work.....	5-6
5.5 Acknowledgements.....	5-6
5.6 Reference .....	5-6
<b>Chapter 6. Delta Modeling for Emergency Drought Barriers .....</b>	<b>6-1</b>
6.1 Summary of Emergency Barrier Work Completed.....	6-2
6.2 Modeling Process .....	6-3
6.2.1 Data Analysis and Modeling Process to Determine Potential Salinity Impacts.....	6-3
6.2.2 Forecasted Inflows, Diversions, Consumptive Use and Exports .....	6-4
6.3 Review of Documents on Salinity Impacts of Barriers in Droughts.....	6-5
6.3.1 Checking if DSM2 Forecast Results Matched Conclusions of 2009 Emergency Barriers Report .....	6-7
6.4 February 20 and March 21 Forecasts .....	6-10
6.4.1 Evolving Objectives for Studies .....	6-10
6.4.2 Delta Island Consumptive Use Estimates .....	6-11
6.4.3 February 20 Forecast.....	6-11
6.4.3.1 February 20 Forecast Assumptions.....	6-11
6.4.3.2 February 20 Forecast Results.....	6-12
6.4.3.3 Discussion of Differences in Salinity Results between Different Delta Models .....	6-15
6.4.4 March 21 Forecast.....	6-18
6.4.4.1 DSM2 March 21 Forecast Assumptions .....	6-18
6.4.4.2 DSM2 March 21 Forecast Results .....	6-19
6.4.5 Analysis Tools and Providing Information to Stakeholders .....	6-20
6.5 Water Cost Analysis Using the March 21, 2014 Forecast .....	6-24

6.6 Summary .....	6-27
6.7 References .....	6-28
<b>Chapter 7. Bay-Delta SELFE Calibration Overview.....</b>	<b>7-1</b>
7.1 Introduction.....	7-1
7.2 SELFE Model .....	7-2
7.2.1 Formulation.....	7-2
7.2.2 Roughness and Friction and Turbulence Closure .....	7-3
7.2.3 Transport Equation.....	7-4
7.2.4 Horizontal Meshes .....	7-5
7.2.5 Vertical Mesh.....	7-6
7.2.6 Hydraulic Structures and Mass Sources.....	7-7
7.3 Bay-Delta SELFE Application.....	7-8
7.3.1 Domain, Mesh, and Boundaries .....	7-8
7.3.2 Bathymetry.....	7-9
7.3.3 Barriers and Gates.....	7-10
7.3.4 Atmospheric Inputs .....	7-11
7.3.5 Initial Conditions .....	7-12
7.3.6 Delta Agricultural Sources and Sinks (Consumptive Use).....	7-12
7.3.7 Description.....	7-14
7.4 Calibration.....	7-14
7.4.1 Computational Performance .....	7-15
7.4.2 Skill Metrics for Scalar Station Data .....	7-15
7.4.3 Elevation .....	7-15
7.4.3.1 Monitoring Stations.....	7-15
7.4.3.2 Tidal Phase and Amplitude .....	7-16
7.4.4 Flow Results.....	7-19
7.4.4.1 Net Flow Maps.....	7-20
7.4.5 Salinity Results .....	7-22
7.4.5.1 Monitoring Stations.....	7-22
7.4.5.2 Stratification Plots.....	7-26
7.5 Conclusions.....	7-29
7.6 References.....	7-29



## Figures

<b>Chapter 1. Channel Volume Correction in DSM2-Qual V8.1 .....</b>	<b>1-1</b>
Figure 1-1 Simulated Flow at Turner Cut Gate before (blue) and after (red) Coefficient Change .....	1-2
Figure 1-2 Simulated EC at Old River at Bacon Island (ROLD024).....	1-3
Figure 1-3 Regression Analysis of Simulated EC at Old River at Bacon Island (ROLD024).....	1-3
Figure 1-4 Volumetric Fingerprinting at Clifton Court Forebay with Original Setup.....	1-4
Figure 1-5 Volumetric Fingerprinting at Clifton Court Forebay with Gate Coefficients 0.5 .....	1-4
<b>Chapter 2. Quantitative Calibration of DSM2 .....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
Figure 2-1 Initialization Files Block Diagram .....	2-4
Figure 2-2 Calibration Preparation and Start Block Diagram.....	2-5
Figure 2-3 Calibration Run Block Diagram.....	2-5
Figure 2-4 DSM2 Channel 313 .....	2-6
Figure 2-5 West Delta Sensitivity Map.....	2-8
Figure 2-6 South Delta Sensitivity Map .....	2-9
Figure 2-7 South Delta Final Value Map.....	2-10
<b>Chapter 3. DSM2 Version 8.1 Time Step Sensitivity Test .....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
Figure 3-1 Qual Time Step Sensitivity at Clifton Court Forebay .....	3-2
Figure 3-2 Qual Time Step Sensitivity at Bacon Island (ROLD024) .....	3-2
Figure 3-3 Qual Time Step Sensitivity at Jersey Point (RSAN018).....	3-3
Figure 3-4 Qual Time Step Sensitivity at Stockton Ship Canal (RSAN058).....	3-3
Figure 3-5 Comparison of Simulated EC with Time Steps of 15 Minutes and 5 Minutes at Clifton Court Forebay .....	3-4
Figure 3-6 Comparison of Simulated EC with Time Steps of 15Minutes and 5 Minutes at Bacon Island.....	3-4
Figure 3-7 Comparison of Simulated EC with Time Steps of 5 Minutes and 3 Minutes at Clifton Court Forebay .....	3-5
Figure 3-8 Comparison of Simulated EC with Time Steps of 5 MInutes and 3 Minutes at Bacon Island.....	3-5
Figure 3-9 Comparison of Simulated EC with Time Steps of 3 Minutes and 1 Minute at Clifton Court Forebay .....	3-6
Figure 3-10 Comparison of Simulated EC with Time Steps of 3 Minutes and 1 Minute at Bacon Island.....	3-6
Figure 3-11 Tidefile Time Step Sensitivity at Clifton Court Forebay .....	3-7
Figure 3-12 Tidefile Time Step Sensitivity at Bacon Island.....	3-8
Figure 3-13 Tidefile Time Step Sensitivity at Jersey Point .....	3-8
Figure 3-14 Tidefile Time Step Sensitivity at Antioch.....	3-9
Figure 3-15 Comparison of EC results with 1 Hour and 30 Minute Tidefiles at Clifton Court Forebay .....	3-9
Figure 3-16 Comparison of EC Results with Time Steps of 1 Hour and 30 Minute Tidefiles at Bacon Island.....	3-10
Figure 3-17 Comparison of EC Results with 30 Minute and 15 Minute Tidefiles at Clifton Court Forebay .....	3-10
Figure 3-18 Comparison of EC Results with 30 Minute and 15 Minute Tidefiles at Bacon Island.....	3-11

Figure 3-19 Comparison of EC with 15 Minute and 5 Minute Hydro Time Steps at Clifton Court Forebay .....	3-12
Figure 3-20 Comparison of EC with 15 Minute and 5 Minute Hydro Time Steps at Bacon Island.....	3-12
Figure 3-21 Comparison of EC with 15 Minute and 5 Minute Hydro Time Steps at Jersey Point .....	3-13
Figure 3-22 Comparison of EC with 15 Minute and 5 Minute Hydro Time Steps at Antioch.....	3-13
Figure 3-23 Comparison of EC with 5 Minute and 3 Minute Hydro Time Steps at Clifton Court Forebay .....	3-14
Figure 3-24 Comparison of EC with 5 Minute and 3 Minute Hydro Time Steps at Bacon Island.....	3-14
Figure 3-25 Comparison of EC Results at Antioch (RSAN007) .....	3-15
Figure 3-26 Comparison of EC Results at Jersey Point.....	3-16
Figure 3-27 Comparison of EC Results at Bacon Island .....	3-16
Figure 3-28 Comparison of EC Results at Clifton Court Forebay.....	3-17
<b>Chapter 4. DSM2-GTM.....</b>	<b>4-1</b>
Figure 4-1 Illustration of Eulerian versus Lagrangian Frame of Reference.....	4-2
Figure 4-2 DSM2 Map Showing Node and Channels for a Region near Clifton Court .....	4-3
Figure 4-3 Illustration of DSM2 Nodes and DSM2-Hydro Computational Points.....	4-4
Figure 4-4 Representation of (a) “True Junction” (Node 3) and (b) a String of Intermediate Nodes along a Single Reach.....	4-5
Figure 4-5 Test Case for a Single Reach with Intermediate Nodes with Upstream Uniform Flow and Given Initial Concentration in the Middle of Reach .....	4-8
Figure 4-6 Results of DSM2-Qual for Advection in Uniform Flow along a Single Reach with Given Initial Concentration in the Middle of Reach.....	4-8
Figure 4-7 Results of DSM2-GTM for Advection in Uniform Flow along a Single Reach with Given Initial Concentration in the Middle of Reach (dx=635 ft).....	4-9
Figure 4-8 Results of DSM2-GTM for Advection in Uniform Flow along a Single Reach with Given Initial Concentration in the Middle of Reach (dx=312.5 ft).....	4-9
Figure 4-9 Test Case for Advection in Oscillating Flow along a Reach with Given Initial Condition .....	4-10
Figure 4-10 Results of DSM2-Qual for Advection in Oscillating Flow along a Reach after 12 Days of Simulation .....	4-11
Figure 4-11 Results of DSM2-Qual for Advection in Oscillating Flow along a Reach after One Year of Simulation.....	4-11
Figure 4-12 Results of DSM2-Qual for Advection in Oscillating Flow along a Reach after 12 Days of Simulation .....	4-12
Figure 4-13 Results of DSM2-Qual for Advection in Oscillating Flow along a Reach after One Year of Simulation.....	4-12
Figure 4-14 Results of DSM2-Qual for Advection in Uniform Flow along a Reach with Given Concentration Boundary Condition .....	4-13
Figure 4-15 Results of DSM2-GTM for Advection in Uniform Flow along a Reach with Given Concentration Boundary Condition .....	4-13
Figure 4-16 Test Case Design for Junctions with Upstream Uniform Flow and Concentration Boundary Condition.....	4-14
Figure 4-17 Results of DSM2-Qual for Advection in Uniform Flow along a Reach with Junctions.....	4-14

Figure 4-18 Results of DSM2-GTM for Advection in Uniform Flow along a Reach with Junctions.....	4-15
Figure 4-19 Test Case for Delta-like Grid with Observed Inflows and Tidal Stage at Martinez .....	4-17
Figure 4-20 Results of DSM2-Qual for Entire Delta with Observed Inflows and Stage at Martinez.....	4-18
Figure 4-21 Results of DSM2-GTM for Entire Delta with Observed Inflows and Stage at Martinez.....	4-18
<b>Chapter 5. Automation of Spatial Map with Temporal Data from DSM2-QUAL Output using ArcGIS.....</b>	<b>5-1</b>
Figure 5-1 Flow Chart of Methodology .....	5-1
Figure 5-2 Examples of DSM2 Grid.....	5-2
Figure 5-3 Sample ArcGIS Point Feature Coupled with XY Coordinates, and Temporal EC Data.....	5-3
Figure 5-4 Interpolation of ArcGIS to Generate Contours and Colored Raster from Geo-Referenced Points.....	5-3
Figure 5-5 Sample GIS Vector Feature with Extracted Contours from Specified Times .....	5-4
Figure 5-6 Sample GIS Mosaic Feature with Extracted Raster Images from Specified Times .....	5-4
Figure 5-7 Sample Presentations of the Areal Distribution of Water Quality in the Delta.....	5-5
Figure 5-8 Sample Map of Maximum Salinity Intrusions of Different Drought Years Using DSM2 Simulation .....	5-6
<b>Chapter 6. Delta Modeling for Emergency Drought Barriers .....</b>	<b>6-1</b>
Figure 6-1 DWR's California Data Exchange Center Reservoir Storage Graph January 15, 2014.....	6-1
Figure 6-2 Modeling Process .....	6-4
Figure 6-3 Barrier Locations - Phase 1, 2009 Emergency Barriers Report Map 1 .....	6-6
Figure 6-4 Barrier Locations - Phase 1, 2009 Emergency Barriers Report Map 2 .....	6-7
Figure 6-5 Location of Barriers and Average Electrical Conductivity Reduction at Banks Pumping Plant - Phase 2, 2009 Emergency Barriers Report.....	6-8
Figure 6-6 Early February 2014 Forecasted Inflows and Net Delta Outflow .....	6-9
Figure 6-7 Early February 2014 Forecasted Exports and Diversions .....	6-9
Figure 6-8 Early February 2014 Forecast With and Without Barriers - Clifton Court Forebay EC .....	6-10
Figure 6-9 Early February 2014 Forecast With and Without Barriers - Emmaton EC.....	6-10
Figure 6-10 Monthly Consumptive Use Values Used in DSM2 Simulations.....	6-11
Figure 6-11 February 20, 2014 Forecasted Inflows and Net Delta Outflow.....	6-12
Figure 6-12 February 20, 2014 Forecasted Exports and Diversions.....	6-12
Figure 6-13 February 20th, 2014 Forecasted Rio Vista Electrical Conductivity and End of Month Storage .....	6-13
Figure 6-14 February 20, 2014 Forecasted Clifton Court Forebay Electrical Conductivity and End of Month Storage .....	6-14
Figure 6-15 DSM2 Historical and February 20, 2014 Forecasted Clifton Court Forebay Electrical Conductivity Shown with EC from 1976-1977 .....	6-14
Figure 6-16 Modeled Clifton Court EC with End of Month Reservoir Storage February 20 Forecast.....	6-15
Figure 6-17 Conceptual Plot of Relationship between Net Delta Outflow and Salinity in the Delta.....	6-16
Figure 6-18 February 20 Forecasted EC and Delta Ouflow as Compared to Historical Dry Years ...	6-17
Figure 6-19 March 21, 2014 Forecasted Inflows and Net Delta Outflow.....	6-18

Figure 6-20	March 21, 2014 Forecasted Exports and Diversions.....	6-19
Figure 6-21	February 20 and March 21 Forecasted EC at Clifton Court Forebay.....	6-19
Figure 6-22	February 20 and March 21 Forecasted EC at Emmaton.....	6-20
Figure 6-23	March 21 Forecast - Spatial Salinity Comparison.....	6-21
Figure 6-24	Modified BDCP Visualization Tool.....	6-22
Figure 6-25	Stage and Velocity Tool for Locations Around the Barriers.....	6-22
Figure 6-26	SELFE Spatial Velocity Distribution Plot for Dutch Slough.....	6-23
Figure 6-27	SELFE Spatial Velocity Distribution Plot for Fisherman's Cut.....	6-23
Figure 6-28	Water Cost Savings and Salinity Relationship.....	6-25
Figure 6-29	Relaxed Emmaton Objective Water Savings Plotted with March 31, 2014 Reservoir Storage .....	6-26
Figure 6-30	Optimized DSM2 Forecasted EC at Clifton Court Forebay Using March 21 Forecast ..	6-27
<b>Chapter 7.</b>	<b>Bay-Delta SELFE Calibration Overview.....</b>	<b>7-1</b>
Figure 7-1	Bay-Delta SELFE Domain, Boundaries and Agricultural Source/Sink (DICU) Locations .....	7-3
Figure 7-2	Typical Vertical Structure of Velocity (right) and Eddy Viscosity (left).....	7-4
Figure 7-3	Horizontal Mesh near Franks Tract.....	7-5
Figure 7-4.	(a) Orthogonal Triangular Mesh. (b) Non-orthogonal Mesh with some Skew .....	7-5
Figure 7-5	Close-up of Mesh Preparation in San Pablo Bay with Coons Patches near Pinole Shoal .....	7-6
Figure 7-6	(a) The Hybrid Coordinate System used in SELFE. S-Coordinates are used above the Threshold Depth, while Z (Stairstepping) Coordinates are used below. (b) Vertical Transect of an SZ Mesh.....	7-7
Figure 7-7	Histogram of Element Size, using Equivalent Radius (Radius of the Circle with Equal Area).....	7-9
Figure 7-8	Delta Hydraulic Structure Operations for 2009 .....	7-10
Figure 7-9	Delta Hydraulic Structure Operations for 2010 .....	7-11
Figure 7-10	Net Delta Outflow and Delta Island Consumptive Use Estimates for 2009 .....	7-13
Figure 7-11	Estimates of Agricultural Drainage from DICU and DETAW Around the Period of the Storm .....	7-14
Figure 7-12	Stage Results at Old River at Bacon Island.....	7-16
Figure 7-13	Stage Results for Sacramento River at Walnut Grove .....	7-17
Figure 7-14	Stage Results for Sacramento River at I Street .....	7-17
Figure 7-15	Map of M2 Amplitude at Bay-Delta Stations, April 2010 .....	7-18
Figure 7-16	Map of M2 Phase at Bay-Delta Stations, April 2010.....	7-18
Figure 7-17	Flow Results at Threemile Slough .....	7-19
Figure 7-18	Flow Results at Old River at Quimby Island .....	7-20
Figure 7-19	North Delta Monthly Residual Flow, May-June 2010 .....	7-21
Figure 7-20	Central Delta Monthly Residual Flow, May-June 2010.....	7-21
Figure 7-21	South Delta Monthly Residual Flow, May-June 2010 .....	7-22
Figure 7-22	Salinity Results at Benicia (Surface).....	7-23
Figure 7-23	Salinity Result at Rock Slough.....	7-23
Figure 7-24	Salinity Result at Clifton Court.....	7-24
Figure 7-25	USGS Polaris Cruise Sample Locations .....	7-25
Figure 7-26	USGS Observations and SELFE Model Output for the Northern Leg of an April 13, 2010 Cruise.....	7-25
Figure 7-27	Observed Top and Bottom Salinity and Stratification at Richmond Bridge .....	7-27
Figure 7-28	Observed Top and Bottom Salinity and Stratification at Benicia Bridge.....	7-28
Figure 7-29	Sensitivity of Salinity Stratification to the Placement of the "Bottom" Sensor .....	7-28

## Tables

<b>Chapter 6. Delta Modeling for Emergency Drought Barriers .....</b>	<b>6-1</b>
Table 6-1 DSM2 and SELFE Emergency Barrier Drought Modeling Tasks and Contacts.....	6-2
Table 6-2 Dry Historical and High Estimated Delta Consumptive Use (Run 3) .....	6-5
Table 6-3 Net Delta Outflow Needed to Meet D-1641 Objectives for Various Alternatives .....	6-24
Table 6-4 Net Delta Outflow Needed to Meet D-1641 Objectives When Moved to Three Mile Slough .....	6-26
Table 6-5 Description of Alternatives Shown in Figure 6-3.....	6-27



## Preface

### Chapter 1 Channel Volume Correction in DSM2-Qual Version 8.1

DSM2-Qual calculates volume of a channel by starting with the initial channel volumes read from the DSM2-Hydro tidefile at the beginning of a run, and then using flows from the Hydro tidefile to calculate the volume into or out of a channel at every time step. This calculation determines the water volume left in the channels (represented by parcels). The channel volumes at other time steps are available in the Hydro tidefile but not used.

This method would be accurate if water mass balances in channels are perfect. However, when there are water mass balance errors in Hydro, the errors will accumulate in Qual. In rare situations, the errors may accumulate significantly and stop Qual from running. This chapter describes a correction procedure that has been added to Qual and tested for accuracy.

### Chapter 2 Quantitative Calibration of DSM2

For the first time in its use, DSM2, the 1D hydrodynamic and water quality simulation model of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, is being calibrated in a quantitative manner with mathematically-based techniques. This chapter describes the background, motivation, goals, and status of the project, as well as preliminary findings.

### Chapter 3 DSM2 Version 8.1 Time Step Sensitivity Test

This chapter gives the update on DSM2 version 8.1.2 time step sensitivity test results. The sensitivity tests are important because relatively small changes in time steps should not result in large changes in water quality results. If there are large differences in results due to differences in time step size, this reflects a problem in the model's ability to converge. Time steps for Hydro (the DSM2 hydrodynamic module), the tidefile (output from Hydro), and Qual (the DSM2 water quality module) have been tested. Sensitivity tests were done to evaluate the effects of different time steps on simulated EC. These results suggest DSM2 converges well. Time steps for the version 8.1 (v8.1) calibration were chosen based on these results.

### Chapter 4 DSM2-GTM

DWR's Delta Modeling Section is developing a new DSM2 transport module, the General Transport Model (GTM). The mesh for GTM is fixed (Eulerian) rather than moving with flow (Lagrangian), and this should make it easier to interact with other models, georeferenced data and visualization as well as to couple to Hydro. It is also based on a more flexible software framework that is easier to adapt to new groupings of constituents -- mercury and sediment are of particular interest. The algorithm is a second order upwind solver developed in a prior collaboration with UC Davis with low numerical diffusion and an elaborate verification framework covering tough problems.

This chapter describes some of the practical issues of embedding such a model in a looped network or in a DSM2 grid with many intermediate junctions (nodes) along a single physical channel reach. We demonstrate the effect the DSM2-Qual schema can have on numerical diffusion, and make some preliminary comparisons with DSM2-Qual on advection problems in which GTM appears to be less diffusive in more complex flow fields or on more intricate grids.

## Chapter 5 Automation of Spatial Map with Temporal Data from DSM2-QUAL Output using ArcGIS

This chapter presents a new post-processing tool for DSM2-QUAL output which enables generation of ArcGIS geo-referenced contour maps and time-varying animations to visualize water quality distributions in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta area.

## Chapter 6 Delta Modeling for Emergency Drought Barriers

This chapter is a summary of work and documentation completed by several staff members from the Department of Water Resources' Bay-Delta Office and the Division of Operations and Maintenance. It summarizes the modeling processes used to determine the potential water quality and water supply impacts of Rock Barriers in Sutter Slough, Steamboat Slough, and False River.

## Chapter 7 Bay-Delta SELFE Calibration Overview

The Delta Modeling Section and Virginia Institute of Marine Sciences are completing an initial calibration of the semi-implicit Eulerian-Lagrangian finite element (SELFE) 2-D/3-D model on the Bay-Delta domain. This chapter describes the project scope and the SELFE model and also gives some preliminary results representative of the forthcoming calibration document. SELFE is open source, uses a second-generation semi-implicit algorithm and has been used in a variety of cross-scale contexts on estuary problems around the world. Results for the Bay-Delta suggest the model is able to accurately reproduce the most important transport processes in this domain. Greater emphasis will now be placed on usability and applications, although the chapter also identifies areas of uncertainty or potential improvement.