

*[Editor's Note: The following report is an electronic reproduction of the 17th annual progress report to the State Water Resources Control Board. The format of this chapter is different than the original report. The page numbers listed in this table of contents still reflect the page numbers from the original document.]*

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1-1</b>
<b>EMULATION OF DWRDSM USING ARTIFICIAL NEURAL NETWORKS AND ESTIMATION OF SACRAMENTO RIVER FLOW .....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
<b>Previous Work .....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
<b>Why Use ANNs .....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
<b>Development of ANN Model.....</b>	<b>2-2</b>
Software Used.....	2-2
Data Description.....	2-2
Performance Indicators.....	2-2
Preparation of Input and Some Criteria Used for ANN Architecture Selection.....	2-2
<b>SAC Flow Estimator.....</b>	<b>2-3</b>
<b>Discussion of Results .....</b>	<b>2-3</b>
<b>Future Directions .....</b>	<b>2-4</b>
<b>References .....</b>	<b>2-4</b>
<b>IMPACT OF DELTA ISLANDS ON WATER QUALITY .....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
<b>Project Description .....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
<b>Tasks: Status and Milestones.....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
DICU Model Improvements.....	3-1
THM Predictive Formulation Improvements .....	3-2
Effect of Improved Models on DBP Precursor Transport Simulation.....	3-3
Ongoing DICU Model Improvements.....	3-6
Future Directions.....	3-7
<b>References .....</b>	<b>3-18</b>
<b>SIMULATION OF DISSOLVED OXYGEN IN THE SACRAMENTO-SAN JOAQUIN DELTA .....</b>	<b>4-1</b>
<b>DO Mass Balance and Water Quality Interaction.....</b>	<b>4-1</b>
<b>Model Application and Performance.....</b>	<b>4-2</b>
<b>Conclusions and Future Directions .....</b>	<b>4-6</b>
<b>References .....</b>	<b>4-7</b>

## TABLES

Table 4.1:	Hydrology Used in Model Calibration and Verification .....	4-5
------------	--	-----

## FIGURES

Figure 2.1:	CHIPPS Time Series Plot .....	2-5
Figure 2.2:	CCC Time Series Plot.....	2-5
Figure 2.3:	SAC Prediction from CHIPPS .....	2-6
Figure 2.4:	SAC Prediction from CCC.....	2-6
Figure 2.5:	CHIPPS Prediction Without DXC and EAST .....	2-7
Figure 2.6:	CCC Predictions Without DXC and EAST .....	2-8
Figure 2.7:	SAC Predictions from CHIPPS .....	2-8
Figure 2.8:	SAC Prediction from CCC.....	2-9
Figure 2.9:	SAC Prediction from CCC Using ANN NDO Only .....	2-9
Figure 2.10:	SAC Prediction from CCC Using G-Model .....	2-10
Figure 3.1:	Delta Lowlands Agricultural Drainage: 1954-55 .....	3-9
Figure 3.2:	Sensitivity of TTHM Neural Network.....	3-9
Figure 3.3:	Chloroform Verification Results .....	3-10
Figure 3.4:	Dichlorobromomethane Verification Results .....	3-10
Figure 3.5:	Chlorodibromomethane Verification Results .....	3-11
Figure 3.6:	Bromoform Verification Results .....	3-11
Figure 3.7:	TTHM Verification Results .....	3-12
Figure 3.8a:	Water Year 1993 DOC Verification Results.....	3-13
Figure 3.8b:	Water Year 1993 DOC Verification Results.....	3-14
Figure 3.8c:	Water Year 1993 DOC Verification Results.....	3-15
Figure 3.9:	Simulated DBP Organic Precursor Time Series at H.O. Banks Pumping Plant: October 1, 1992 Through September 30, 1993 .....	3-16
Figure 3.10:	Simulated THM Formation Potential Time Series at H.O. Banks Pumping Plant: October 1, 1992 Through September 30, 1993 .....	3-17
Figure 3.11:	Simulated and Observed THM Concentrations at H.O. Banks Pumping Plant: May 1 and August 1, 1993 .....	3-17
Figure 4.1:	Water Quality Parameters.....	4-3
Figure 4.2:	DWRDSM Delta Model Grid .....	4-4
Figure 4.3:	Calibration of Dissolved Oxygen.....	4-5
Figure 4.4:	Verification of Dissolved Oxygen .....	4-6