
FOREWORD

This is the twenty-third annual progress report of the California Department of Water Resources' San Francisco Bay-Delta Evaluation Program, which is carried out by the Delta Modeling Section.

It documents progress in the development and enhancement of the Delta Modeling Section's computer models and reports the latest findings of studies conducted as part of the program. This report was compiled by Michael Mierzwa, with assistance from Jane Schafer-Kramer and Nikki Blomquist, under the direction of Paul Hutton, program manager for the Bay-Delta Evaluation Program.

On-line versions of previous annual progress reports are available at:

<http://modeling.water.ca.gov/branch/reports.html>

For more information contact:

Tara Smith
tara@water.ca.gov
(916) 653-9885

-or-

Michael Mierzwa
mmierzwa@water.ca.gov
(916) 653-9794

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	FOREWORD	iii
1	INTRODUCTION	1-1
2	PARTICLE TRACKING MODEL VERIFICATION AND CALIBRATION	2-1
2.1	Introduction	2-1
2.2	Background	2-1
2.3	PTM Theory.....	2-2
2.3.1	Longitudinal Dispersion.....	2-3
2.3.2	Longitudinal Diffusion.....	2-6
2.3.3	Channel Boundaries.....	2-9
2.3.4	Movement at Junctions	2-10
2.3.5	Movement in and out of Open Water Areas.....	2-11
2.4	Profile Calibration	2-11
2.4.1	Estimation of Profile Coefficients	2-11
2.4.2	Calibration of Profile Shape Conclusions.....	2-19
2.5	Verification of PTM in a Single Channel – Static Stage	2-19
2.5.1	Methods	2-20
2.5.2	Results	2-21
2.5.3	Verification Discussion	2-23
2.6	Future Directions	2-23
2.7	References.....	2-24
3	ANALYSIS AND PREPARATION OF OBSERVED DATA FOR INPUT FILES IN SUPPORT OF DSM2 EXTENDED VALIDATION (1975-1999).....	3-1
3.1	Introduction	3-1
3.2	Objectives	3-1
3.3	Description of Analysis and Preparation of Data	3-1
3.3.1	Acquisition of Data	3-1
3.3.2	Analysis and Screening of Input Data for DSM2 Input Files.....	3-1
3.3.3	Documentation of Delta Events and Activities	3-2
3.4	In Progress and Future Work.....	3-3
4	CALSIM VERSUS DSM2 ANN AND G-MODEL COMPARISONS.....	4-1
4.1	Introduction	4-1
4.2	Description of Scenarios.....	4-1
4.3	Simulation Inputs	4-2
4.3.1	CALSIM	4-2
4.3.2	DSM2	4-3
4.4	Results	4-4
4.4.1	Emmaton	4-4
4.4.2	Jersey Point	4-11
4.4.3	Rock Slough.....	4-17
4.5	Conclusions.....	4-23
4.6	References.....	4-24

5	RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN DELTA WATER QUALITY CONSTITUENTS AS DERIVED FROM GRAB SAMPLES.....	5-1
5.1	Introduction	5-1
5.2	General Methodology	5-1
5.3	Methodology.....	5-2
5.4	A Sample Analysis.....	5-3
5.5	Future Directions	5-11
5.6	Reference.....	5-11
6	CALIBRATING DSM2-QUAL DISPERSION FACTORS TO PRACTICAL SALINITY	6-1
6.1	Introduction	6-1
6.2	Background	6-1
6.2.1	Calibrating QUAL to EC	6-1
6.2.2	Calibrating QUAL to TDS.....	6-4
6.3	Calibrating QUAL to Practical Salinity.....	6-6
6.3.1	Practical Salinity Background	6-6
6.3.2	Practical Salinity in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta.....	6-8
6.3.3	An Alternative Correction to Extend Practical Salinity Scale 1978 Below 1 PPT TDS in the Delta.....	6-10
6.3.4	Practical Salinity at Delta Boundaries.....	6-13
6.3.5	Practical Salinity of Agricultural Drainage.....	6-13
6.3.6	Converting QUAL-Generated Practical Salinity to EC.....	6-15
6.4	References.....	6-18
7	GENERATING MONTHLY DISSOLVED ORGANIC CARBON AND UVA AT DSM2 BOUNDARIES	7-1
7.1	Introduction	7-1
7.2	General Methodology	7-1
7.3	Sacramento River at Greens Landing.....	7-2
7.3.1	Dissolved Organic Carbon at Greens Landing	7-2
7.3.2	Ultraviolet Absorbance at Greens Landing	7-8
7.4	San Joaquin River at Vernalis	7-9
7.4.1	Dissolved Organic Carbon at Vernalis	7-9
7.4.2	Ultraviolet Absorbance at Vernalis.....	7-15
7.5	Mokelumne River	7-17
7.5.1	Dissolved Organic Carbon on Mokelumne River.....	7-17
7.5.2	Ultraviolet Absorbance on Mokelumne River.....	7-21
8	CALSIM WATER QUALITY OPERATING RULES TO MEET DELTA WETLANDS WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT PLAN.....	8-1
8.1	Introduction	8-1
8.2	CALSIM II	8-3
8.3	Chloride.....	8-3
8.3.1	Base Chloride Study	8-5
8.3.2	Project Study Using Chloride Constraints.....	8-5
8.4	Total Organic Carbon	8-7
8.4.1	Base DOC Study.....	8-8
8.4.2	Project Study Using DOC Constraints	8-8
8.5	Bromate.....	8-9
8.6	Total Trihalomethanes (TTHM)	8-9
8.7	Temperature and DOC.....	8-10

8.8	References	8-10
9	DOC GROWTH IN QUAL	9-1
9.1	Background	9-1
9.2	Implementation Detail	9-1
9.2.1	Logistic Equation.....	9-1
9.2.2	Depth Adjustment.....	9-2
9.2.3	Timing of Filling and Draining	9-2
9.3	Results	9-2
9.4	Summary	9-4
9.5	References	9-4
10	OPTIMAL CONTROL OF DELTA SALINITY	10-1
10.1	Introduction	10-1
10.2	Minimum Water Cost Compliance	10-2
10.3	Optimal Control Solutions	10-2
10.3.1	Parameterization	10-3
10.3.2	Regularization	10-3
10.3.3	Comparing Parameterization and Regularization	10-4
10.4	Experiments Using DSM2	10-7
10.5	Extensions	10-13
10.6	References	10-13
11	16-YEAR DSM2 PLANNING STUDIES WITH ADJUSTED ASTRONOMICAL TIDES AND DAILY HYDROLOGY	11-1
11.1	Introduction	11-1
11.2	Preprocessing Planning Runs	11-2
11.2.1	Changes in HYDRO.....	11-2
11.2.2	Changes in QUAL	11-3
11.3	Post Processing	11-5
11.4	Summary	11-7
11.5	References	11-8
12	DSM2 DOCUMENTATION	12-1
12.1	Introduction	12-1
12.2	Objectives	12-1
12.2.1	Documenting DSM2.....	12-1
12.2.2	Standardizing Documentation of DSM2 Studies.....	12-1
12.3	DSM2 Documentation Overview	12-1
12.3.1	Volume 1: Formulation and Verification	12-2
12.3.2	Volume 2: Calibration and Validation.....	12-2
12.3.3	Volume 3: Using DSM2.....	12-3
12.4	DSM2 Tutorial	12-3
12.5	Progress and Future Directions	12-4
12.6	References	12-4
13	DSM2 INPUT DATABASE AND DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM	13-1
13.1	Introduction	13-1
13.2	Database and Data Management	13-2
13.3	Database Structure	13-4
13.4	Component Sets and Layers	13-7
13.5	Version Control	13-9
13.6	Data Validation and the Externalization of Quality Control	13-10

13.7	Conclusions	13-11
14	DSM2 FINGERPRINTING METHODOLOGY	14-1
14.1	Introduction	14-1
14.2	Conceptualization of Volume Fingerprinting	14-2
14.3	Conceptualization of Volume and Timing Fingerprinting	14-5
14.4	Constituent Fingerprinting	14-9
14.5	Application of Fingerprinting in the Delta using DSM2	14-9
14.5.1	Volume Fingerprinting for Conservative Constituents by using Tracer Constituents in QUAL.....	14-12
14.5.2	Volume and Timing Fingerprinting for Conservative Constituents by using Tracer Constituents in QUAL.....	14-14
14.5.3	Accuracy of Conservative Constituent Concentration Estimates	14-19
14.5.4	Sample Volume Fingerprinting Results.....	14-20
14.6	Special Applications of Fingerprinting using DSM2	14-21
14.6.1	Constituent Fingerprinting	14-22
14.6.2	Constituent and Timing Fingerprinting	14-23
14.6.3	Constituent or Constituent and Timing Fingerprinting for Conservative Constituents by using an OUTPUTPATHS Section in QUAL Input.....	14-24
14.7	Summary	14-25
14.8	References	14-25
15	SHORT-TERM IMPROVEMENTS TO ARTIFICIAL NEURAL NETWORK IMPLEMENTATION IN CALSIM	15-1
15.1	Introduction	15-1
15.2	Objectives	15-1
15.2.1	Best Input Parameters in ANN.....	15-1
15.2.2	Better Training Techniques.....	15-2
15.2.3	Increase the Robustness of the ANN.....	15-2
15.2.4	Changes in ANN Implementation in CALSIM	15-2
15.3	Current Status	15-3
15.4	Future Considerations	15-3

TABLES

Table 2.1:	Summary of Profile Coefficients Found from Field Data.....	2-19
Table 2.2:	Range of Parameters Used to Estimate the Dispersion Coefficient.....	2-20
Table 2.3:	Theoretical Bounds on the Dispersion Coefficient.....	2-20
Table 4.1:	Summary of DSM2 Planning Studies.....	4-1
Table 4.2:	Emmaton EC (rounded to nearest 50 umhos/cm)	4-4
Table 4.3:	Summary of Emmaton Scatter Plots.....	4-9
Table 4.4:	Jersey Point EC (rounded to nearest 50 umhos/cm).....	4-12
Table 4.5:	Summary of Jersey Point Scatter Plots	4-15
Table 4.6:	Rock Slough EC (rounded to nearest 50 umhos/cm)	4-17
Table 4.7:	Summary of Rock Slough Scatter Plots.....	4-21
Table 5.1:	Summary of Data Count for Analysis.....	5-2
Table 5.2:	Summary of Data Available for Analysis of Chloride and Calcium Relationships.....	5-5
Table 5.3:	Preliminary Regressions for Calcium and Chloride Analysis.....	5-8
Table 6.1:	Desired Correction to Delta Practical Salinity	6-11
Table 6.2:	Coefficients M_0 and M_1 to Correct Low Practical Salinity in Delta Channels	6-11
Table 6.3:	Coefficients M_0 and M_1 to Correct Practical Salinity in Delta Agricultural Drainage.....	6-13
Table 7.1:	Classification of DOC Response to Flow at Greens Landing	7-3
Table 7.2:	Generated Monthly DOC at Greens Landing (values in mg/L).....	7-7
Table 7.3:	Generated Monthly UVA at Greens Landing (values in 1/cm).....	7-9
Table 7.4:	Classification of DOC Response to Flow at Vernalis.....	7-11
Table 7.5:	Generated Monthly DOC at Vernalis (values in mg/L).....	7-15
Table 7.6:	Generated Monthly UVA at Vernalis (values in 1/cm)	7-16
Table 7.7:	Generated Monthly DOC in Mokelumne River (values in mg/L).....	7-20
Table 7.8:	Generated Monthly UVA in Mokelumne River (values in 1/cm)	7-21
Table 9.1:	DOC Model Input Parameters.....	9-3
Table 11.1:	Comparison between Traditional Monthly Planning Studies and the New Daily Planning Studies	11-8
Table 14.1:	Estimation of Conservative Constituent Concentrations using Volume Contributions and Source Concentrations	14-4
Table 14.2:	Estimation of Conservative Constituent Concentrations using Volume Contributions, Source Concentrations, and Source Timing.....	14-7
Table 14.3:	Specified Tracer Concentrations for Volume Fingerprinting in the Delta.....	14-13
Table 14.4:	Specified Tracer Concentrations for Volume and Timing Fingerprinting in the Delta	14-16
Table 14.5:	Source Month Represented by each Tracer for a Specified Month in the Delta	14-17
Table 14.6:	Specified Tracer Concentrations for Constituent Fingerprinting in the Delta	14-22
Table 14.7:	Specified Tracer Concentrations for Constituent and Timing Fingerprinting in the Delta	14-23

FIGURES

Figure 2.1:	Definition of Dispersion Coefficient, K	2-2
Figure 2.2:	Coordinate Convention for a Channel.....	2-3
Figure 2.3:	Examples of Vertical Profiles using Different Shape Factors, s	2-4
Figure 2.4:	Example of Transverse Profiles with Coefficient, A , Ranging from 1.2 to 1.6.....	2-6
Figure 2.5:	Diagram of Particle Position Coordinate Convention.....	2-7
Figure 2.6:	PTM Representation of an Irregular Channel where Width is Calculated for a Given Flow Area and Depth.....	2-9
Figure 2.7:	Particles Bounce the Same Projected Distance into the Channel.....	2-10
Figure 2.8:	Probability Based Determination of a Particle Path at a Junction Flow Split	2-11
Figure 2.9:	Location of Available ADCP Transects.....	2-12
Figure 2.10:	Creation of Depth Ensembles.....	2-13
Figure 2.11:	ADCP Boat Track and Adjusted Track.....	2-13
Figure 2.12:	Velocity Coverage for a Channel Cross-Section (shown in gray).....	2-14
Figure 2.13:	Transverse and Vertical Profiles Represent the Depth Averaged and Width Averaged Transect Values	2-15
Figure 2.14:	Regression of the Vertical Velocity Profile and Estimation of the von Karman Coefficient, k , and Shape Factor, s	2-16
Figure 2.15:	Theoretical Vertical Profile Compared to Field Velocity and 95% Confidence Intervals	2-17
Figure 2.16:	Regression of the Transverse Velocity Profile and Estimation of the Transverse Shape Coefficient, A	2-18
Figure 2.17:	Theoretical Vertical Profile Comparison to Field Velocity and 95% Confidence Intervals	2-19
Figure 2.18:	Theoretical Estimate of PTM Longitudinal Dispersion Coefficient for an Average Velocity of 3.2 ft/s	2-21
Figure 2.19:	Theoretical Estimate of PTM Longitudinal Dispersion Coefficient for an Average Velocity of 1.6 ft/s	2-22
Figure 2.20:	Theoretical Estimate of PTM Longitudinal Dispersion Coefficient for an Average Velocity of 0.5 ft/s	2-23
Figure 4.1:	Study Methodology	4-2
Figure 4.2a:	DSM2 versus CALSIM EC at Emmaton for D-1485 using ANN: Water Years 1976-83.....	4-5
Figure 4.2b:	DSM2 versus CALSIM EC at Emmaton for D-1485 using ANN: Water Years 1984-91.....	4-5
Figure 4.3:	Difference in EC at Emmaton for D-1485 using ANN.....	4-5
Figure 4.4a:	DSM2 versus CALSIM EC at Emmaton for D-1485 using G-model: Water Years 1976-83.....	4-6
Figure 4.4b:	DMS2 versus CALSIM EC at Emmaton for D-1485 using G-model: Water Years 1984-91.....	4-6
Figure 4.5:	Difference in EC at Emmaton for D-1485 using G-model.....	4-6
Figure 4.6a:	DSM2 versus CALSIM EC at Emmaton for D-1641 using ANN: Water Years 1976-83.....	4-7
Figure 4.6b:	DSM2 versus CALSIM EC at Emmaton for D-1641 using ANN: Water Years 1984-91.....	4-7
Figure 4.7:	Difference in EC at Emmaton for D-1641 using ANN.....	4-7
Figure 4.8a:	DSM2 versus CALSIM EC at Emmaton for D-1641 using G-model: Water Years 1976-83.....	4-8
Figure 4.8b:	DSM2 versus CALSIM EC at Emmaton for D-1641 using G-model: Water Years 1984-91.....	4-8
Figure 4.9:	Difference in EC at Emmaton for D-1641 using G-model.....	4-8

Figure 4.10:	Scatter Plot of CALSIM with ANN EC versus DSM2 EC for D-1485 at Emmaton.....	4-10
Figure 4.11:	Scatter Plot of CALSIM with G-model EC versus DSM2 EC for D-1485 at Emmaton.....	4-10
Figure 4.12:	Scatter Plot of CALSIM with ANN EC versus DSM2 EC for D-1641 at Emmaton.....	4-11
Figure 4.13:	Scatter Plot of CALSIM with G-model EC versus DSM2 EC for D-1641 at Emmaton.....	4-11
Figure 4.14:	DSM2 versus CALSIM EC at Jersey Point for D-1485 using ANN.....	4-12
Figure 4.15:	Difference in EC at Jersey Point for D-1485 using ANN.....	4-12
Figure 4.16:	DSM2 versus CALSIM EC at Jersey Point for D-1485 using G-model.....	4-13
Figure 4.17:	Difference in EC at Jersey Point for D-1485 using G-model.....	4-13
Figure 4.18:	DSM2 versus CALSIM EC at Jersey Point for D-1641 using ANN.....	4-13
Figure 4.19:	Difference in EC at Jersey Point for D-1641 using ANN.....	4-14
Figure 4.20:	DSM2 versus CALSIM EC at Jersey Point for D-1641 using G-model.....	4-14
Figure 4.21:	Difference in EC at Jersey Point for D-1641 using G-model.....	4-14
Figure 4.22:	Scatter Plot of CALSIM with ANN EC versus DSM2 EC for D-1485 at Jersey Point.....	4-15
Figure 4.23:	Scatter Plot of CALSIM with G-model EC versus DSM2 EC for D-1485 at Jersey Point.....	4-16
Figure 4.24:	Scatter Plot of CALSIM with ANN EC versus DSM2 EC for D-1641 at Jersey Point.....	4-16
Figure 4.25:	Scatter Plot of CALSIM with G-model EC versus DSM2 EC for D-1641 at Jersey Point.....	4-17
Figure 4.26:	DSM2 versus CALSIM EC at Rock Slough for D-1485 using ANN.....	4-18
Figure 4.27:	Difference in EC at Rock Slough for D-1485 using ANN.....	4-18
Figure 4.28:	DSM2 versus CALSIM EC at Rock Slough for D-1485 using G-model.....	4-18
Figure 4.29:	Difference in EC at Rock Slough for D-1485 using G-model.....	4-19
Figure 4.30:	DSM2 versus CALSIM EC at Rock Slough for D-1641 using ANN.....	4-19
Figure 4.31:	Difference in EC at Rock Slough for D-1641 using ANN.....	4-19
Figure 4.32:	DSM2 versus CALSIM EC at Rock Slough for D-1641 using G-model.....	4-20
Figure 4.33:	Difference in EC at Rock Slough for D-1641 using G-model.....	4-20
Figure 4.34:	Scatter Plot of CALSIM with ANN EC versus DSM2 EC for D-1485 at Rock Slough.....	4-21
Figure 4.35:	Scatter Plot of CALSIM with G-model EC versus DSM2 EC for D-1485 at Rock Slough.....	4-22
Figure 4.36:	Scatter Plot of CALSIM with ANN EC versus DSM2 EC for D-1641 at Rock Slough.....	4-22
Figure 4.37:	Scatter Plot of CALSIM with G-model EC versus DSM2 EC for D-1641 at Rock Slough.....	4-23
Figure 5.1:	Locations of Available Data and Sample Groupings for Chloride and Calcium Analysis.....	5-4
Figure 5.2:	Relationship between Chloride and Calcium for West Delta and San Joaquin River Regions.....	5-7
Figure 5.3:	Relationship between Chloride and Calcium for Franks Tract and Contra Costa Canal Regions.....	5-9
Figure 5.4:	Relationship between Chloride and Calcium for DMC and SWP Intake Regions.....	5-10
Figure 6.1:	EC as a Function of Salinity per Equation 6-1 (Schemel, 2000).....	6-2
Figure 6.2:	Nonlinear Relationship between EC and TDS from Delta Grab Samples.....	6-3
Figure 6.3:	Nonlinear Relationship between EC and Chloride from Delta Grab Samples.....	6-3
Figure 6.4:	Linear Relationship between Chloride and TDS from Delta Grab Samples.....	6-3
Figure 6.5:	Relationship between TDS and EC at Delta Boundaries.....	6-5

Figure 6.6:	Standard Correction to Practical Salinity Scale 1978 (Hill et al., 1980).....	6-8
Figure 6.7:	Relationship between Delta Practical Salinity Scale 1978 and Observed Chloride.....	6-8
Figure 6.8:	Relationship between Delta Practical Salinity Scale 1978 and Observed TDS.....	6-9
Figure 6.9:	Deviation of Low Delta Practical Salinity from Valid Regression.....	6-9
Figure 6.10:	Relationship between Delta Practical Salinity and TDS for TDS > 1 ppt.....	6-10
Figure 6.11:	Needed Correction to Delta Practical Salinity for Values with TDS < 1 ppt.....	6-12
Figure 6.12:	Corrected Delta Practical Salinity for Values with TDS < 1 ppt.....	6-12
Figure 6.13:	Corrected Delta Practical Salinity at Delta Boundaries.....	6-14
Figure 6.14:	Regions for Different Corrections to the Practical Salinity of Agricultural Drainage.....	6-15
Figure 6.15:	Needed Correction to Practical Salinity of Delta Agricultural Drainage.....	6-17
Figure 6.16:	Residual in EC after EC – Corrected Practical Salinity – EC Analysis.....	6-18
Figure 7.1:	Observed DOC and Flow at Greens Landing.....	7-2
Figure 7.2:	Observed DOC at Greens Landing, 1987-1997 (Grouped by Month).....	7-2
Figure 7.3:	Observed DOC at Greens Landing Grouped by Response to Flow (Jun. – Oct. Data Removed).....	7-3
Figure 7.4:	Observed DOC and Response to Flow at Greens Landing.....	7-4
Figure 7.5a:	Assignment of DOC / Flow Relationship at Greens Landing for Planning Period: 1975-1983.....	7-4
Figure 7.5b:	Assignment of DOC / Flow Relationship at Greens Landing for Planning Period: 1984-1992.....	7-5
Figure 7.6:	Observed and Generated DOC at Greens Landing.....	7-6
Figure 7.7a:	Generated DOC at Greens Landing over the Planning Period: 1975-1983.....	7-6
Figure 7.7b:	Generated DOC at Greens Landing over the Planning Period: 1984-1992.....	7-7
Figure 7.8:	Monthly Average Observed and Generated DOC at Greens Landing.....	7-8
Figure 7.9:	Observed UVA versus Observed DOC at Greens Landing.....	7-8
Figure 7.10:	Monthly Average Observed and Generated UVA at Greens Landing.....	7-9
Figure 7.11:	Observed DOC and Flow at Vernalis.....	7-10
Figure 7.12:	Observed DOC at Vernalis, 1987-1997 (Grouped by Month).....	7-10
Figure 7.13:	Observed DOC at Vernalis Grouped by Response to Flow (Jun. – Oct. Data Removed).....	7-11
Figure 7.14:	Historic SJR Flow at Vernalis Categorized by DOC Response to Flow.....	7-12
Figure 7.15a:	Assignment of DOC / Flow Relationship at Vernalis for Planning Period: 1975-1983.....	7-12
Figure 7.15b:	Assignment of DOC / Flow Relationship at Vernalis for Planning Period: 1984-92.....	7-13
Figure 7.16:	Observed and Generated DOC at Vernalis.....	7-13
Figure 7.17a:	Generated DOC at Vernalis over the Planning Period: 1975-1983.....	7-14
Figure 7.17b:	Generated DOC at Vernalis over the Planning Period: 1984-1992.....	7-14
Figure 7.18:	Monthly Average Observed and Generated DOC at Vernalis.....	7-15
Figure 7.19:	Observed UVA versus Observed DOC at Vernalis/Mossdale.....	7-16
Figure 7.20:	Monthly Average Observed and Generated UVA at Vernalis.....	7-17
Figure 7.21:	Observed DOC and Flow in the American River.....	7-18
Figure 7.22:	Observed DOC in the American River, 1987-1997 (Grouped by Month).....	7-18
Figure 7.23:	Flow and Observed DOC in the American River (Jun. – Oct. Data Removed).....	7-19
Figure 7.24a:	Generated DOC in the Mokelumne River over the Planning Period: 1975-1983.....	7-19
Figure 7.24b:	Generated DOC in the Mokelumne River over the Planning Period: 1984-1992.....	7-20
Figure 7.25:	Monthly Average Observed and Generated DOC in the Mokelumne River.....	7-20
Figure 7.26:	Observed UVA versus Observed DOC in the Mokelumne River.....	7-21
Figure 7.27:	Monthly Average Observed and Generated UVA in the Mokelumne River.....	7-22

Figure 8.1:	Water Quality Criteria, In-Delta Storage Program (Bindra, 2001)	8-2
Figure 8.2:	Water Quality – Project Reservoir Release Constraint Process.....	8-4
Figure 9.1:	Time Series Plots of DOC Concentrations and Flow Exchange at Webb Tract.....	9-3
Figure 9.2:	Time Series Plots of the Variations in DOC Concentrations at San Joaquin River Near the Mokelumne River Junction and Flow Exchange at Webb Tract.....	9-4
Figure 10.1:	Sample 1-Channel Domain with Salinity Regulation	10-4
Figure 10.2:	Regularization Solution to Meet Daily-Averaged Salinity Regulations with Control Trajectory for River Inflow (Q_r) and Pumping (Q_p)	10-5
Figure 10.3:	Parameterization Solution to Meet Daily-Averaged Salinity Regulations based on Piecewise Linear Controls for River Inflow (Q_r) and Pumping (Q_p).....	10-6
Figure 10.4:	Bethel Tract Daily-Averaged EC (in mS) in Fall 1994.....	10-7
Figure 10.5:	Sacramento River Inflow, Combined Export, and Net Delta Outflow in Fall 1994	10-8
Figure 10.6:	Historical and Alternative Delta Outflow Index for DWR O&M Fall 1994 Water Quality Study	10-9
Figure 10.7:	Comparison of the Cumulative Water Cost of Historical Operations to the Adjusted Operation for Fall 1994	10-9
Figure 10.8:	Salinity Results and Flows for Minimum Water Cost Optimization for two Cases: Average Pumping of 5,000 cfs and Average Pumping of 7,000 cfs.....	10-11
Figure 10.9:	Martinez Stage and Tidal Average Using a Godin Filter for Fall 1994	10-12
Figure 11.1:	South Delta Flow Control Structures.....	11-2
Figure 11.2:	Special Tidal Operation of South Delta Flow Control Structures.....	11-3
Figure 11.3:	Typical Monthly Planning Study Flow Output	11-5
Figure 11.4:	Typical New Daily Planning Study Flow Output.....	11-6
Figure 11.5:	Typical Box and Whisker Plot	11-6
Figure 11.6:	Typical Probability of Exceedence Plot.....	11-7
Figure 13.1:	Single User Interface for Editing Data and Creating Simulations	13-3
Figure 13.2:	Single User Interface in Context of a Local (MS Access) Replicate System and a Remote (Informix) Replication System	13-3
Figure 13.3:	Hierarchy of the Channel Table	13-5
Figure 13.4:	DSM2 Database Interface (Channels).....	13-5
Figure 13.5:	Input Layers	13-7
Figure 13.6:	DSM2 Database Interface (Gates).....	13-8
Figure 13.7:	DSM2 Database Interface (Output Time Series).....	13-9
Figure 14.1:	Conceptualization of a Stream with Two Tributaries	14-3
Figure 14.2:	Conceptualization of Relative Volume Contributions from each Source for Water Sampled from Three Locations	14-3
Figure 14.3:	Conceptualization of Relative Concentrations Computed from Source Volumes and Source Concentrations for Water Sampled from Three Locations	14-5
Figure 14.4:	Conceptualization of Two Source Streams with a Long Retention Time after their Confluence.....	14-6
Figure 14.5:	Conceptualization of Volume and Timing of Source Contributions in a Water Sample from Two Source Streams with a Long Retention Time	14-6
Figure 14.6:	Conceptual Relative Concentrations Computed from Source Volumes, Source Concentrations, and Source Timing.....	14-8
Figure 14.7:	Pie Charts of Relative Contributions of Water Volume or of Conservative Constituent Concentrations using Two Fingerprinting Methods	14-9
Figure 14.8:	Conceptualization of Relative Contributions of Six Sources of Water Samples from Two Different Locations in the Delta.....	14-10

Figure 14.9:	Typical Fingerprinting Source Locations for the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta.....	14-11
Figure 14.10:	Specified Tracer Concentrations for a Single Source for Volume and Timing Finger Printing in the Delta.....	14-17
Figure 14.11:	Percent Volume Contributions of the Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers at the Entrance to Clifton Court Forebay	14-20
Figure 14.12:	Percent Volume Contributions of the Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers at the Entrance to Clifton Court Forebay based on Water Year Types	14-21
Figure 14.13:	Monthly Average Percent Volume Contributions of the Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers at the Entrance to Clifton Court Forebay	14-21