
FOREWORD

This is the twenty-fifth annual progress report of the California Department of Water Resources' San Francisco Bay-Delta Evaluation Program, which is carried out by the Delta Modeling Section.

It documents progress in the development and enhancement of the Bay-Delta Office's Delta Modeling Section's and Division of Environmental Service's Suisun Marsh Planning Section's computer models and reports the latest findings of studies conducted as part of the program. This report was compiled by Michael Mierzwa, with assistance from Jane Schafer-Kramer and Patricia Cornelius, under the direction of Bob Suits, Senior Engineer, and Tara Smith, program manager for the Bay-Delta Evaluation Program.

On-line versions of previous annual progress reports are available at:

<http://modeling.water.ca.gov/branch/reports.html>

For more information contact:

Tara Smith
tara@water.ca.gov
(916) 653-9885

-or-

Michael Mierzwa
mmierzwa@water.ca.gov
(916) 653-9794

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	FOREWORD	iii
1	INTRODUCTION	1-1
2	REALM UPDATE	2-1
2.1	Introduction	2-1
2.2	Features	2-1
2.3	Status	2-2
2.4	Funding Scenarios.....	2-3
2.5	Feature Priority.....	2-4
2.6	Reference	2-5
2.7	Website	2-5
3	DSM2 GEOMETRY INVESTIGATIONS.....	3-1
3.1	Introduction	3-1
3.2	Franks Tract Representation	3-1
3.3	DSM2 Validation with New 2002 USGS Flow Data.....	3-2
3.4	Experimenting with Changes to Franks Tract Representation	3-9
3.5	Experimenting with Changes to Surrounding Channels	3-15
3.6	Average Flows under Alternative 3g.....	3-15
3.7	Delta EC under Alternative 3g	3-15
3.8	Discussion	3-16
3.9	Reference.....	3-16
4	MODELING DO & TEMPERATURE IN DSM2 PLANNING STUDIES	4-1
4.1	Introduction	4-1
4.2	General Methodology	4-2
4.2.1	DO Modeling Data Requirements	4-2
4.2.2	Water Temperature and DO	4-3
4.2.3	Nutrients	4-4
4.2.4	Climate Data	4-6
4.2.5	Stockton Regional Wastewater Control Facility Effluent Data	4-9
4.3	Resulting Input Data for DSM2 Simulation of DO in Planning Studies	4-9
4.3.1	San Joaquin River at Vernalis.....	4-9
4.3.2	Sacramento River at Freeport.....	4-12
4.3.3	Martinez	4-13
4.4	Conclusions.....	4-15
4.5	References.....	4-15

5	CALCULATING NET DELTA OUTFLOW USING CALSIM II AND DSM2	5-1
5.1	Introduction	5-1
5.2	NDO Computations in CALSIM II.....	5-1
5.3	NDO Computations Using DSM2.....	5-5
5.3.1	NDO Computations Using DSM2 Inputs.....	5-5
5.3.2	NDO Computations Using DSM2 Outputs.....	5-6
5.3.3	Differences in NDO Computations Using DSM2 Inputs or Outputs.....	5-10
5.4	Comparison of CALSIM II and DSM2 NDO Computations	5-12
5.4.1	CALSIM II Output Used Directly in DSM2 Planning Studies	5-12
5.4.2	NDO Components not Included in Both CALSIM and DSM2	5-12
5.4.3	DICU in CALSIM II and DSM2	5-12
5.4.4	Comparing CALSIM II and DSM2 NDO	5-14
5.5	Summary.....	5-15
5.6	References.....	5-16
6	NET DELTA OUTFLOW COMPUTATIONS FOR DSM2 STEADY STATE SIMULATIONS	6-1
6.1	Introduction	6-1
6.2	Time Varying Steady State Inflows and Exports with Constant Stage Boundary at Martinez.....	6-1
6.3	Time Varying Steady State Inflows and Exports with a Repeating 19-Year Mean Tide Boundary at Martinez.....	6-3
6.4	Steady State Inflows and Exports with an Adjusted Astronomical Tide Boundary at Martinez.....	6-6
6.5	Summary.....	6-11
6.6	References.....	6-12
7	EXTENSIONS AND IMPROVEMENTS TO DSM2.....	7-1
7.1	Introduction	7-1
7.2	Major Extensions in DSM2-DB.....	7-1
7.2.1	Graphical User Interface (GUI)	7-2
7.2.2	Gates.....	7-3
7.2.3	Expressions and Operating Rules	7-4
7.2.4	Data Format	7-7
7.2.5	Parallel Processing	7-8
7.3	Status & Future Directions.....	7-9
7.4	References.....	7-9

8	REAL-TIME DATA AND FORECASTING PROOF OF CONCEPT AND DEVELOPMENT	8-1
8.1	Introduction	8-1
8.2	Background of MWQI and Forecast	8-2
8.3	History of Forecasting with DSM2	8-3
8.4	Forecasting Proof of Concept	8-5
8.4.1	Expanding the Delta Water Quality Forecast Proof of Concept	8-5
8.4.2	Executing the Delta Proof of Concept	8-7
8.4.3	Water Quality Results of Proof of Concept in the Delta	8-10
8.4.4	Extending the Proof of Concept to the California Aqueduct	8-11
8.5	Short- vs. Long-Term Forecasts	8-12
8.5.1	Differences Between Weekly and Seasonal Forecasts	8-13
8.5.2	Seasonal Methodology	8-14
8.5.3	Weekly Methodology	8-15
8.6	Development Tasks	8-15
8.6.1	Phase 0: Existing Production Runs / Proof of Concept	8-17
8.6.2	Phase 1: Basic Improvements / Regular Updates	8-17
8.6.3	Phase 2: Develop Aqueduct Model	8-18
8.6.4	Phase 3: Improved TDS & Bromide	8-18
8.6.5	Phase 4: Direct TDS & Bromide Simulation	8-18
8.6.6	Phase 5: Forecast Organic Carbon (short-term only)	8-18
8.6.7	Phase 6 / 5: Couple DSM2-Aqueduct Extension	8-19
8.6.8	Phase 7 / 6: Couple DSM2-SJR Extension	8-19
8.7	Conclusions	8-19
8.8	References	8-19
9	USING QUAL FINGERPRINTING RESULTS TO DEVELOP DOC CONSTRAINTS IN CALSIM	9-1
9.1	Introduction	9-1
9.2	IDS Background	9-2
9.3	Methodology to Develop Fingerprint Based Constraints	9-3
9.3.1	Base Case: No Constraints	9-3
9.3.2	First Iteration: Fingerprinting	9-4
9.3.3	Second Iteration: with Constraints	9-5
9.4	Fingerprinting Results	9-6
9.5	Island Volume - Flow Relationships	9-11
9.6	Discussion	9-14
9.7	Future Directions	9-15
9.8	References	9-16
9.9	Website	9-16
10	DEVELOPMENT OF TIDAL ANALYSIS ROUTINES	10-1
10.1	Introduction	10-1
10.2	NOAA Computational Techniques	10-3
10.3	Sacramento / San Joaquin Delta and Suisun Marsh Data	10-3
10.4	DWR Tidal Analysis Package	10-4
10.4.1	Tidal Datum Routine	10-4
10.4.2	Stage / Current Phasing Routine	10-7
10.5	Tidal Transitions	10-9
10.6	Future Directions	10-9
10.7	Reference	10-9

11	WEBSITE AND DSM2 USERS GROUP	11-1
11.1	Introduction	11-1
11.2	Delta Modeling Section Website.....	11-1
11.3	DSM2 Users Group	11-2
11.4	Future Directions	11-5
12	CALCULATING CLIFTON COURT FOREBAY INFLOW	12-1
12.1	Introduction	12-1
12.2	Field Tidal Gate Operations	12-1
12.3	New Clifton Court Gate Equations	12-4
12.4	Validation of the Equations.....	12-5
12.5	Conclusions.....	12-13
12.6	Reference.....	12-13
12.7	Website	12-13

TABLES

Table 2.1:	Comparison of Deliverables near the End of 2006.....	2-4
Table 3.1:	Characteristics of Connections of Franks Tract to Surrounding Channels under Various Alternative DSM2 Descriptions.....	3-10
Table 4.1:	Assignment of Historical Data to Planning Year for Water Temperature and DO.....	4-3
Table 4.2:	Monthly-Varying Nutrient Data at Vernalis.....	4-5
Table 4.3:	Constant Nutrient Data at Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers and Martinez.....	4-5
Table 4.4:	Nutrient Data for Delta Agriculture Drainage.....	4-5
Table 4.5:	Assignment of Historical Data to Planning Year for Climate Data.....	4-6
Table 4.6:	Generated Stockton RWCF Effluent Data.....	4-9
Table 5.1:	CALSIM II Values Used to Compute NDO.....	5-3
Table 5.2:	DSM2 Input Values Used to Compute NDO.....	5-5
Table 6.1:	Boundary Conditions for a Steady State DSM2 Simulation with Constant Martinez Stage.....	6-2
Table 6.2:	Monthly Average NDO Computations for a DSM2 Steady State Simulation with Time Varying Boundary Conditions and Constant Stage at Martinez.....	6-3
Table 6.3:	25-Hour 19-Year Mean Tidal Stage Values.....	6-4
Table 6.4:	Monthly Average NDO Computations based on 15-Minute Data for a DSM2 Steady State Simulation with Time Varying Boundary Conditions and a 25-Hour Repeating 19-Year Mean Tide at Martinez.....	6-5
Table 6.5:	Monthly Average NDO Computations based on 25-Hour Running Average Data for a DSM2 Steady State Simulation with Time Varying Boundary Conditions and a 25-Hour Repeating 19-Year Mean Tide at Martinez.....	6-6
Table 6.6:	Boundary Conditions for Steady State DSM2 Simulation with an Adjusted Astronomical Tide Boundary at Martinez.....	6-7
Table 6.7:	Average Martinez Stage (ft) for Steady State Simulation with an Adjusted Astronomical Tide Boundary at Martinez.....	6-8
Table 6.8:	Monthly NDO Computed from Monthly Averaged 15-Minute Data for a Steady State Simulation with an Adjusted Astronomical Tide at Martinez.....	6-11
Table 6.9:	Monthly NDO Computed from Monthly Averages of 24.75 Hour Running Average Data for a Steady State Simulation with an Adjusted Astronomical Tide at Martinez.....	6-11
Table 8.1:	Summary of O&M Forecasts Used in DSM2 Proof of Concept.....	8-5
Table 8.2:	Example of Inflows into Lake Oroville from the 1998 Operations Forecasts.....	8-6
Table 9.1:	Percent Island Volume - Flow Relationships.....	9-13
Table 9.2:	Sensitivity to Flow Parameters in Table 9.1.....	9-13
Table 10.1:	Principal Tidal Datum Parameters Defined by NOAA.....	10-3
Table 12.1:	Monthly Averaged Flow Through the Clifton Court Forebay Gates.....	12-12

FIGURES

Figure 2.1:	Advection in a Uniform Velocity Field, Showing Adaptive Mesh Refinement.....	2-2
Figure 3.1:	Locations of Flow Data Collected by USGS, April 2002 – September 2002	3-1
Figure 3.2a:	DSM2 Generated Flow (Current Geometry) and USGS Field Data, Holland Cut, May 1 – May 5, 2002.....	3-2
Figure 3.2b:	DSM2 Generated Flow (Current Geometry) and USGS Field Data, Old River at Mandeville Island, May 1 – May 5, 2002	3-3
Figure 3.2c:	DSM2 Generated Flow (Current Geometry) and USGS Field Data, Old River at San Joaquin River, May 1 – May 5, 2002.....	3-3
Figure 3.2d:	DSM2 Generated Flow (Current Geometry) and USGS Field Data, Taylor Slough, May 1 – May 5, 2002	3-4
Figure 3.2e:	DSM2 Generated Flow (Current Geometry) and USGS Field Data, Fisherman’s Cut, May 1 – May 5, 2002	3-4
Figure 3.2f:	DSM2 Generated Flow (Current Geometry) and USGS Field Data, False River, May 1 – May 5, 2002	3-5
Figure 3.3a:	Filtered Daily Average Flow: DSM2 Generated Flow for Existing, Alt 3g, and USGS Field Data, Holland Cut, 2002	3-6
Figure 3.3b:	Filtered Daily Average Flow: DSM2 Generated Flow for Existing, Alt 3g, and USGS Field Data, Old River at Mandeville Island, 2002	3-6
Figure 3.3c:	Filtered Daily Average Flow: DSM2 Generated Flow for Existing, Alt 3g, and USGS Field Data, Old River as San Joaquin River, 2002.....	3-7
Figure 3.3d:	Filtered Daily Average Flow: DSM2 Generated Flow for Existing, Alt 3g, and USGS Field Data, Taylor Slough, 2002	3-7
Figure 3.3e:	Filtered Daily Average Flow: DSM2 Generated Flow for Existing, Alt 3g, and USGS Field Data, Fisherman’s Cut, 2002	3-8
Figure 3.3f:	Filtered Daily Average Flow: DSM2 Generated Flow for Existing, Alt 3g, and USGS Field Data, False River, 2002	3-8
Figure 3.4:	Current DSM2 Representation of Franks Tract	3-9
Figure 3.5:	DSM2 Representation of Franks Tract for Alternative 1d (simulation of submerged berm on east end).....	3-11
Figure 3.6:	DSM2 Representation of Franks Tract for Alternative 2d (simulation of southern portion by wide channels)	3-11
Figure 3.7:	DSM2 Representation of Franks Tract for Alternative 3g (simulation of entire flooded area by wide channels)	3-12
Figure 3.8a:	DSM2 Alt 1a Generated Flow and USGS Field Data, Old River at San Joaquin River, May 1 – May 5, 2002.....	3-13
Figure 3.8b:	DSM2 Alt 1d Generated Flow and USGS Field Data, Old River at San Joaquin River, May 1 – May 5, 2002.....	3-13
Figure 3.8c:	DSM2 Alt 2d Generated Flow and USGS Field Data, Old River at San Joaquin River, May 1 – May 5, 2002.....	3-14
Figure 3.8d:	DSM2 Alt 3g Generated Flow and USGS Field Data, Old River at San Joaquin River, May 1 – May 5, 2002.....	3-14
Figure 4.1:	Planning Study Methodology	4-1
Figure 4.2:	DO and Interaction Among Water Quality Parameters.....	4-2
Figure 4.3:	Summary Statistics for Monthly Average Chlorophyll in the San Joaquin River at Vernalis, 1983-2001	4-4
Figure 4.4a:	Hourly Air Temperature at Stockton (1997-1998).....	4-7
Figure 4.4b:	Hourly Air Temperature at Stockton (1999-2000).....	4-7
Figure 4.5a:	Hourly Wetbulb Temperature at Stockton (1997-1998).....	4-8
Figure 4.5b:	Hourly Wetbulb Temperature at Stockton (1999-2000).....	4-8

Figure 4.6a:	Hourly Dissolved Oxygen at Mossdale Used as Vernalis Boundary Condition (1984-1991)	4-10
Figure 4.6b:	Generated Daily Dissolved Oxygen at Vernalis (1975-1984)	4-10
Figure 4.7a:	Hourly Temperature at Mossdale Used as Vernalis Boundary Condition (1984-1991).....	4-11
Figure 4.7b:	Generated Daily Temperature at Vernalis (1975-1984).....	4-11
Figure 4.8:	Generated Daily Dissolved Oxygen at Sacramento River, Freeport (1975-1991).....	4-12
Figure 4.9:	Generated Daily Temperature at Sacramento River, Freeport (1975-1991)	4-12
Figure 4.10:	Hourly Dissolved Oxygen at Martinez (1984-1991)	4-13
Figure 4.11:	Generated Daily Dissolved Oxygen at Martinez Used for the Period 1975-1983	4-13
Figure 4.12:	Hourly Temperature at Martinez (1984-1991)	4-15
Figure 4.13:	Generated Daily Temperature at Martinez Used for the Period 1975-1983	4-15
Figure 5.1:	Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta	5-2
Figure 5.2:	Delta Portion of the CALSIM II Grid.....	5-4
Figure 5.3:	DSM2 Net Delta Outflow Estimation Locations	5-7
Figure 5.4:	Martinez NDO Estimation Location on the DSM2 Grid.....	5-8
Figure 5.5:	Chipps Island NDO Estimation Locations on the DSM2 Grid.....	5-8
Figure 5.6:	Rio Vista / Jersey Point NDO Estimation Locations on the DSM2 Grid	5-9
Figure 5.7:	Monthly Averaged NDO Computed from DSM2 Inputs and Outputs for the SDIP 2020 Integrated Scenario	5-11
Figure 5.8:	Difference in Monthly Averaged NDO (DSM2 Output NDO minus DSM2 Input NDO) for the SDIP 2020 Integrated Scenario.....	5-11
Figure 5.9:	Computation of DICU for DSM2 based on CALSIM Results	5-13
Figure 6.1:	Repeating 25-Hour 19-Year Mean Tide.....	6-4
Figure 6.2:	Adjusted Astronomical Tide for First Month of Steady State Simulation	6-7
Figure 6.3:	Monthly NDO Computed from Monthly Averaged 15-Minute Data for a Steady State Simulation with an Adjusted Astronomical Tide at Martinez	6-10
Figure 6.4:	Monthly NDO Computed from Monthly Averages of 24.75 Hour Running Average Data for a Steady State Simulation with an Adjusted Astronomical Tide at Martinez	6-10
Figure 7.1:	Gate Timing Panel of DSM2-DB GUI Illustrating Entries Removed and Changed with Higher Layers.....	7-2
Figure 7.2:	Gate Input Panel Illustrating Gate Devices and Layers.....	7-4
Figure 7.3:	Parallelized HYDRO Runs	7-8
Figure 8.1:	Physical Scope of Real-Time Data and Forecasting Project.....	8-1
Figure 8.2:	Example of Forecasted Water Quality Using DSM2.....	8-3
Figure 8.3:	Example of Forecasted Water Levels Using DSM2.....	8-4
Figure 8.4:	Example of Forecast Exceedence Levels.....	8-6
Figure 8.5:	Time Line for 1998 "Forecast" Proof of Concept DSM2 Simulations.....	8-7
Figure 8.6:	EC at Banks Pumping Plant (SWP) for DSM2 Historical Simulation and Nine DSM2 50% Exceedence Level Long-Term Forecasts	8-10
Figure 8.7:	EC at O'Neill Outlet for MWD California Aqueduct Model Based on DSM2 Historical and Long-Term Forecast Simulations.....	8-11
Figure 8.8:	Time Frame of Short- (Weekly) vs. Long-Term (Seasonal) Forecasts.....	8-12
Figure 8.9:	Comparison of Weekly vs. Seasonal Forecasts	8-13
Figure 8.10:	Seasonal Forecast Methodology	8-14
Figure 8.11:	Future Development Phases and Milestones for Weekly DSM2 Forecasts	8-16
Figure 8.12:	Future Development Phases and Milestones for Seasonal DSM2 Forecasts	8-16
Figure 9.1:	Location of Project Islands and Urban Intakes	9-2

Figure 9.2:	Fingerprinting Study Methodology	9-3
Figure 9.3:	Selected Rock Slough Volumetric Fingerprint Pie Charts	9-6
Figure 9.4:	Rock Slough (RS) Volumetric Fingerprint Area Chart	9-8
Figure 9.5:	Banks Pumping Plant (SWP) Volumetric Fingerprint Area Chart	9-9
Figure 9.6:	Tracy Pumping Plant (CVP) Volumetric Fingerprint Area Chart.....	9-10
Figure 9.7:	Percent Volume at Rock Slough from Project Islands	9-12
Figure 10.1:	Contour Plot of MHHW (Mean Higher High Water) Using RMA2 Output.....	10-1
Figure 10.2:	Tidal Datum Profile.....	10-2
Figure 10.3:	Stage at Old River near Delta Mendota Canal (ROLD047) in Oct. 1996	10-4
Figure 10.4:	Tidal Datum Algorithm	10-6
Figure 10.5:	Curve Fitted to Observed Stage Data from Old River near the Delta Mendota Canal (DMC) Intake for Oct. 1996	10-6
Figure 10.6:	High and Low Tides Based on Curve Fitted Stage Data at Old River near the Delta Mendota Canal (DMC) Intake for Oct. 1996.....	10-7
Figure 10.7:	Stage / Current Phasing Algorithm	10-8
Figure 10.8:	Example of Extra High and Low Tides Found in Stage Data During a Tidal Transition.....	10-9
Figure 11.1:	Screenshot of the Delta Modeling Section Website.....	11-2
Figure 11.2:	Screenshot of the DSM2 Users Group Website	11-4
Figure 11.3:	Screenshot of the DSM2 Users Group Online Bulletin Board	11-5
Figure 12.1:	Location of Clifton Court Forebay Intake Gates.....	12-2
Figure 12.2:	Clifton Court Forebay Gate Priority Operation Rules.....	12-3
Figure 12.3:	Measured vs. Calculated Flow Through the Clifton Court Forebay: August 2003.....	12-6
Figure 12.4:	Measured vs. Calculated Flow Through the Clifton Court Forebay: September 2003.....	12-7
Figure 12.5:	Inflow Difference vs. Banks Export: August 2003.....	12-8
Figure 12.6:	Inflow Difference vs. Banks Export: September 2003	12-9
Figure 12.7:	Inflow Difference vs. Stage Outside and Inside the Clifton Court Forebay: August 2003.....	12-10
Figure 12.8:	Inflow Difference vs. Stage Outside and Inside the Clifton Court Forebay: September 2003.....	12-11